

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,950	07/24/2003		Ray R. Radtkey	612,404-426 US 313C2	2426	
34263	7590	09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
J 1		YERS LLP	LU, FRANK WEI MIN			
610 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE 17TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT	BEACH,	CA 92660	1634			
				DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/627,950	RADTKEY ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Frank W. Lu	1634						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	it received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

W

Application/Control Number: 10/627,950

Art Unit: 1634

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-40, drawn to a method for detecting members of a set of polymorphisms that occur at identified loci in samples of patient nucleic acid, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 41-44, drawn to a system for detecting members of a set of polymorphisms that occur at identified loci in samples of patient nucleic acid (claim 41) and a kit for use in a process of detecting members of a set of polymorphisms that occur at identified loci in samples of patient nucleic acid (claims 42-44), classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as making an array comprising the product in claim 42.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,950 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

3. Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) the amplification includes polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (claim 9)
- (2) the amplification includes ligase chain reaction (LCR) (claim 10)
- (3) the amplification include strand displacement amplification (SDA) (claim 11)
- (4) the amplification includes the transcription-based amplification system (TAS) (claim 12)
- (5) the amplification includes the self-sustained sequence replication system (3SR) (claim 13)
- (6) the amplification includes the Qβ replicase amplification system (Qβ) (claim 14)

 Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims 1-8 and 15-40.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Group I further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (7) the multiple segments containing different loci are affixed to the same site (claim 20)
- (8) the multiple segments containing different loci are affixed to the different sites (claim 21)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims 1-19 and 22-40.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1634

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0746. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (571)272-0745.

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Frank Lu PSA

September 16, 2005

FRANKLU
PATENT EXAMINER